Binary Sequence Problem

Frank Liu

August 29, 2023

Problem.

Design and justify an algorithm that takes two natural numbers n and k and outputs $M_k(n)$, the number of possible binary strings of length n where the 1's must be no closer than k apart.

Dynamic Programming Approach

First we'll consider a DP, an array with the following recurrence

$$DP[i] = \begin{cases} i & \text{if, } i \le k \\ DP[i-1] + DP[i-k-1] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

```
Algorithm 1 Returns M_k(n) given n, k \in \mathbb{N}
```

```
Require: n, k \in \mathbb{N}

VALIDSTRINGS(n, k):

if n \leq k then

return n;

end if

DP \leftarrow \operatorname{int}[n]

for i \leftarrow 1 to k do

DP[i] = i + 1

end for

for i \leftarrow k + 1 to n do

DP[i] = [i - 1] + D[i - k - 1]

end for

return DP[n]
```

Correctness

Claim: $DP[i] = M_k(i), \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$

Proof. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ Base Cases: When $0 < i \leq k$, $DP[i] = i + 1 = {i \choose 1} + 1 = M_k(i)$ Note that this is because there is at most a single 1, so we consider the number possible permutations with a single 1, ${i \choose 1}$, as well as the all 0 string.

Induction Hypothesis: Suppose that $\forall n < i, DP[n] = M_k(n), i > k$

Induction Step:

Let us consider the two cases when we have a string of length i:

- 1. The last number is 1, this tells us that the next k last numbers must 0. Thus the number of possible binary strings that end with 1 is the same as $M_k(i-k-1)$
- 2. The last number is 0, this tells us that the first i 1 elements can be any binary string of length i - 1 that satisfies the spacing conditions or $M_k(i-1)$.

Thus $M_k(i) = M_k(i-1) + M_k(i-k-1)$, since these two possibilities have no overlap and their union is all possible binary strings. Thus by our hypothesis:

$$DP[i] = DP[i-1] + DP[i-k-1] = M_k(i-1) + M_k(i-k-1) = M_k(i)$$

Thus we conclude that $DP[n] = M_k(n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

The correctness of our algorithm follows.

Runtime Analysis

- 1. The if statement runs in O(1) time
- 2. Allocating space for an array of size n takes O(1) time
- 3. The first for loop runs k times with each iteration taking O(1) time
- 4. The second for loop runs n (k+1) times with each iteration taking O(1) time. Note that the for loops run only if n > k, thus the runtime of our algorithm T(n) given an input of n and k is:

$$T(n,k) = O(1) + O(1) + O(k) + O(n - (k+1)) = O(n)$$

Analytic Solution From part 1 we saw that $M_k(n)$ follows the following recurrence:

$$M_k(n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if, } n \le k \\ M_k(n-1) + M_k(n-k-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Calculating $M_k(n)$ when $k \ge n$ takes constant time so let k < n. Let us consider the following matrix representation of the recurrence:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_k(n+1) \\ M_k(n) \\ M_k(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ M_k(n+2-k) \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \\ I_k - 1 & & 0 \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

Thus we can see that $\mathfrak{M}_n = B_k^{n-k} \mathfrak{M}_k$. Where:

$$\mathfrak{M}_k = \left(egin{array}{c} k+1 \ k \ k-1 \ dots \ 2 \end{array}
ight)$$

Using the naive definition of Matrix multiplication of $k \times k$ matrices takes $O(k^3)$. We know that we can reduce exponentiation problems to run in $O(\log_2(n)M)$, where M is the runtime at each multiplication. Thus our total runtime is:

$$T(n,k) = O(\log_2(n)k^3)$$

Which is much more efficient than our first algorithm when n is large. (When $k^3 < \frac{2^n}{n}).$